COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

L.R. No.: 5461-02

Bill No.: SCS for HB 1504

Subject: Capital Improvements; Emergencies; Taxation and Revenue - General; Taxation

and Revenue - Sales and Use

Type: Original
Date: May 5, 2014

Bill Summary: This proposal exempts certain taxes from tax increment financing.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on				
General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 5461-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 1504

Page 2 of 5 May 5, 2014

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- ☐ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	
Local Government*	\$0	\$0	\$0	

^{*}Net Effect equals zero

L.R. No. 5461-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 1504 Page 3 of 5 May 5, 2014

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials at the **Department of Economic Development - Division of Business and Community Services**, the **State Tax Commission** and the **Department of Revenue** each assume no fiscal impact to their respective agencies from this proposal.

Officials at the St. Louis County Board of Election Commission, the Platte County Board of Election Commission and the Mississippi County Recorder of Deeds each assume no fiscal impact to their respective organizations from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at **St. Louis County**, the **City of Columbia**, the **City of Kansas City** and the **City of Jefferson** each assumed no fiscal impact to their respective organizations from this proposal.

In response to a previous version, officials at **Cole County** assumed no impact from this proposal. It is unclear whether this addition applies to all counties or just that charter county with more than 600,000 and less than 700,000 inhabitants. If it applies to all counties, Cole County would receive a small positive fiscal impact. For 2013, Cole County would have saved \$841.14 due to not paying §67.700 tax revenues. In the future, positive impact would likely improve because another TIF project is getting off the ground.

Oversight assumes that this proposal would have no fiscal impact.

Officials at the following cities: Ashland, Belton, Bernie, Bonne Terre, Boonville, California, Cape Girardeau, Clayton, Dardenne Prairie, Excelsior Springs, Florissant, Frontenac, Fulton, Gladstone, Grandview, Harrisonville, Independence, Joplin, Kearney, Knob Noster, Ladue, Lake Ozark, Lebanon, Lee Summit, Liberty, Louisiana, Maryland Heights, Maryville, Mexico, Monett, Neosho, O'Fallon, Pacific, Peculiar, Popular Bluff, Raytown, Republic, Richmond, Rolla, Sedalia, Springfield, St. Charles, St. Joseph, St. Louis, St. Robert, Sugar Creek, Sullivan, Warrensburg, Warrenton, Webb City, Weldon Spring and West Plains did not respond to **Oversight's** request for fiscal impact.

Officials at the following counties: Andrew, Audrain, Barry, Bates, Boone, Buchanan, Callaway, Camden, Cape Girardeau, Carroll, Cass, Clay, Cole, Cooper, DeKalb, Franklin, Greene, Holt, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Knox, Laclede, Lawrence, Lincoln, Marion, Miller, Moniteau, Monroe, Montgomery, New Madrid, Nodaway, Ozark, Perry, Pettis, Phelps, Platte, Pulaski, Scott, St. Charles, St. Francois, Taney, Warren, Wayne and Worth did not respond to

L.R. No. 5461-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 1504 Page 4 of 5 May 5, 2014

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2015 (10 Mo.)	FY 2016	FY 2017
LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
<u>Income</u> - increase to various Local Political Subdivisions from local taxes	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown	\$0 to Unknown
<u>Loss</u> - Local Political Subdivisions - to TIF funds from increase in local taxes	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)	(\$0 to Unknown)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 5461-02 Bill No. SCS for HB 1504 Page 5 of 5 May 5, 2014

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Economic Development - Division of Business and Community Services
Cole County
St. Louis County
City of Columbia
Kansas City
City of Jefferson
St. Louis County Board of Election Commission
Platte County Board of Election Commission
Mississippi County Recorder of Deeds
Department of Revenue
State Tax Commission

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director May 5, 2014

Ross Strope Assistant Director May 5, 2014